A review of hypertension in Nigeria and the ‘Rule of halves’
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ABSTRACT

Hypertension is a condition associated with adverse vascular complications. Its prevalence is on the increase globally and same is true for Nigeria. Very few studies have assessed the prevalence, awareness, treatment and blood pressure control among hypertensive patients in Nigeria. The few available studies generally show figures less than 50% for all the three indicators of success with regards to hypertension. These studies are however deficient in their coverage, country representativeness and methodology, and as such, they fail to provide the evidence for which conclusions can be drawn. There is need to intensify blood pressure screening at both hospital and community levels. More comprehensive research with wider coverage and sound methodologies are also needed to determine those associated factors and to discover better treatment options for hypertension in Nigeria. These findings would guide health promotion activities and policy making.
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1. Introduction

Hypertension is increasingly becoming a public health concern in many countries. In the year 2000, the overall global prevalence among adults was 26.4%, and this value was predicted to increase by 60% by the year 2025 [1]. According to the Joint National Committee (JNC) on Prevention, Detection, Evaluation, and Treatment of High Blood Pressure, hypertension is defined as a systolic blood pressure of 140 mmHg and above and/or diastolic blood pressure of 90 mmHg and above based on two or more properly measured seated blood pressure readings on each of two or more office visits [2]. It is also associated with vascular complications like stroke; ischaemic heart disease [3]; and chronic kidney disease [4]. Very high prevalences are continuously being reported all over the globe. The pooled prevalences of hypertension in Africa were 19.7%; 27.4%; and 30.8% respectively for the years 1990; 2000 and 2010 [5]. In West Africa, the prevalence has almost tripled in three decades, from the 1980s (12.9%) to 2010-2014 (34.4%) [6]. This increasing prevalence should prompt an expanded screening programme with a simultaneous expansion of treatment coverage alongside research into better treatment measures. However, a survey among general practitioners
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in Lagos, Nigeria, revealed that despite having a high daily hypertension patient load, they had an unsatisfactory approach to the detection and evaluation of hypertension [7].

The basic aim of treating hypertension is to achieve and/or maintain controlled blood pressure and to prevent the onset of complications. It has been proposed that in a given population, only a half of those with hypertension will be aware of their hypertension status (Rule 1); out of which only a half would be receiving treatment (Rule 2); and out of which only a half will have a controlled blood pressure. This is known as the ‘rule of halves’. ‘Rule 1’ is an indicator of how effective, awareness and screening programmes are, in a country; while ‘Rule 2’ portrays the level of treatment coverage for those already diagnosed, while ‘Rule 3” is an indicator of success level of treatment [8]. Due to the generally asymptomatic nature of hypertension, a lot of cases have remained undiagnosed and a vast majority is unaware of their hypertensive status. The level of applicability of the ‘rule of halves’ is very heterogeneous with regards to location and its different items. In most advanced countries, the levels of awareness and treatment coverage have exceeded those of the ‘rule of halves’, but the levels of control still remain below 50%. A successive improvement in awareness and control has been reported among the Scotts over the years [9]. Among the Swiss, a prevalence of 36% was recorded out of which 63% were aware; of which 78% were treated and 48% controlled [10]. Similar prevalence with high awareness (60.2%) and treatment (87.6%) has been reported among the Greeks but with low control (32.8%) [11]. In Italy, even among patients followed up by specialist physicians control was only 37.5% [12]. In a rural community in western Sweden, the prevalence of hypertension was 20%, out of whom 67% were aware of their status, and out of whom 31% had controlled blood pressure [13].

In less advanced countries, levels of awareness, treatment and control have almost always been below half. In an urban community in Peru, the prevalence was 24.1%; and 48.3% were aware of their condition; out of whom 48.3% were aware; out of whom 40% were receiving treatment and of whom 30.4% had controlled blood pressures [14]. High treatment coverage for hypertension has been reported in India. In Basavanapura village, 16.1% were hypertensive of whom 61.1% were aware and of whom 90.9% were receiving treatment; and 70% were controlled [15]. In the Chennai Urban Rural Epidemiology Study (CURES), 20% had hypertension, out of which only 32.8% were aware of their status; out of which 70.8% were on treatment and 45.9% were controlled [16]. Rampal et al. [17] reported that in Malaysia among those aged > 15 years, a prevalence of 27.8%, among which 34.6% were aware of their status, out of which 32.4% were receiving treatment, with only 26.8% having controlled blood pressure. In Thai Nguyen, Vietnam, the prevalence was 23% out of whom 34% were aware of their status; of whom 43% were receiving treatment and 39% had the blood pressures under control [18].

Statistics for African communities and other less advanced countries generally show values lower than that, for the ‘rule of halves’ for awareness, treatment and control. For them, it seemed ‘the rule of thirds’ as described by Lindblad et al. [13] was more likely to apply. A systematic review of studies from 2010-2014 revealed that among hypertensive persons in west Africa, the proportion of those who were aware of their status ranged from 19.6% to 84%; and 0 to 79.2% of them were already receiving treatment, but only 0 to 12.7% of those receiving treatment had good blood pressure control [6]. The aim of this paper is to examine the level of success with regards to hypertension in Nigeria in the light of ‘the rule of halves’.

2. Materials and Methods

A detailed literature search was conducted in Google scholar, PubMed and Cochrane library. Search terms included: hypertension; ‘rule of halves’; blood pressure; awareness; treatment; Nigeria;
prevalence and control. The titles and then abstracts of the articles were screened to exclude out-of-
scope papers. Articles were considered eligible for inclusion if the study had assessed at least the
level of hypertension awareness; if they were published from the year 2000 onwards, and only if the
JNC VII criteria for hypertension of systolic greater than or equal to 140mmHg and diastolic blood
pressure greater than or equal to 90mmHg were used in the study. A total of thirteen published
articles were finally included for the review. These articles were first assessed for quality using the
Checklist for Prevalence Studies of the Joanna Briggs Institute [19], followed by a qualitative synthesis
to derive an idea of how applicable the ‘rule of halves’ is, in the Nigerian setting.

3. Results
3.1 Assessment of Included Studies

Quality assessment of the selected articles is presented in Table 1. Despite the Fulani and Hausa
ethnicities cutting across several Nigerian states and other West African countries, and with a broad
range of intra-ethnic diversity, the study by Isezuo et al. [20] had sought to generalise its findings to
the whole of this Hausa and Fulani population from data collected from only two urban and two rural
areas from just a single state in Nigeria. The sample size in this study is also grossly inadequate. The
study by Ulasi et al. [21] had utilised a convenience sampling, and the response rate had not been
clearly stated. For the study by Omuemu et al., [22] the sample size was grossly inadequate,
considering the type of sampling method employed (cluster sampling). The sampling methods and
sample size for the study by Adeoye et al. [23] among health workers were adequate. The study by
Akpan et al. [24] was a community-based study which utilized a convenience sampling method. There
was no sample size calculation and as such the adequacy of the sample size of the study remains
unclear. Chinyere et al. [25] had neither stated the sampling technique clearly, nor was sample size
calculation stated, as such, adequacy of the sample size remained unclear. The study by Okwonu et
al., [26] though had calculated the required sample size, had used a purposive sampling to recruit
the participants.

Table 1
Quality assessment of selected studies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Appropriate sample frame to address the target population</th>
<th>Appropriate sampling method</th>
<th>Adequate sample size</th>
<th>Adequate response rate</th>
<th>Validity and reliability of measurements</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.Isezuo et al. [20]</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.Ulasi et al. [21]</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Not clear</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.Omuemu et al. [22]</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.Oladapo et al. [27]</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.Ekwunife et al. [28]</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.Hendriks et al. [29]</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.Adeoye et al. [23]</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.Ahaneku et al. [30]</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Not clear</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Not clear</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.Akpan et al., [24]</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Not clear</td>
<td>Not clear</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.Iloh &amp; Amadi [31]</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.Owolabi et al. [32]</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Not clear</td>
<td>Not clear</td>
<td>Not clear</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.Chinyere et al.[25]</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Not clear</td>
<td>Not clear</td>
<td>Not clear</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13.Okwonu et al.[26]</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Not clear</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3.2 Prevalence and Awareness of Hypertension Status in Nigeria

From a meta-analysis of studies from 1980-2013, the estimated pooled prevalence of hypertension among Nigerian adults was 28.9% of whom only 17.4% were aware of their hypertensive status [33]. Several prevalence studies have been conducted among different populations in the country with prevalences ranging from 1.5% to 46.4% [34-48]. However, as shown in Figure 1, only a few studies had gone further to determine the proportion of those hypertensive patients who were already aware of their status. This ranged from a minimum of 6.4% in a rural community in the South-east to 64.7% among health workers in the south-west apart from which all other findings were below 50% [24, 32]. Okwonu et al. [26] had reported no significant difference in level of awareness of hypertensive status by gender, age group, occupation or educational status, but the other studies did not explore the associated factors. Results from a systematic review revealed that in Africa, the lowest prevalence for awareness (8%) and treatment (5%) were reported in rural communities in Nigeria [49].

3.3 Treatment Coverage and Blood Pressure Control among Hypertensive Patients in Nigeria

As shown in Table 1, six studies had assessed the level of treatment coverage among those already aware of their hypertensive status which ranged from 5% to 85.7% [20, 22, 27, 28, 29, 30]. Adoye et al. [23] however, studied the treatment coverage among the overall hypertensive patients and reported a coverage of 54%. Most epidemiological studies on hypertension in Nigeria have focused only on persons already receiving treatment. In a semi-urban community in the south-east, 32% of hypertensive patients had controlled blood pressure [26]. In a tertiary health centre in the same region, 35% were reported to have their blood pressures under control [50]. In a Teaching Hospital in the same region too, 28.1% of hypertensive patients had controlled blood pressure [51]. In a community study in the Niger-Delta area (south-south) 7.3% of persons with hypertension treatment had their blood pressures controlled [52]. In the cardiology clinic of a tertiary hospital in the same region, 24.2% of hypertensive patients had a controlled blood pressure [53]. The blood pressure control in a tertiary centre in the south-west was 29% [54]. A higher level of control (45.3%) was however reported in a similar clinic located in a rural area in the same region [55]. In the north-central, a control level of 33% was reported in a tertiary hospital [56]. A similar study in a tertiary hospital in the middle belt of Nigeria revealed a blood pressure control of 35.8% among hypertensive patients [57]. In a Teaching Hospital in the north-west the level of blood pressure control was 34.5% [58]. In another Teaching Hospital in the north-west, the percentage of blood pressure control was 30.5% [59].

Iloh et al. [50] reported the following as being significantly associated with good blood pressure control: being on one or more anti-hypertensive medication; adherence to anti-hypertensive medications; and duration of taking anti-hypertensive medications of greater than or equal to 3 years. In the study by Ezeala-Adikaibe et al. [51], higher rates of blood pressure control was reported among those on monotherapy, while lower rates among widows and dwellers of rural areas. The lowest rates were reported among those with a co-morbid condition like a stroke or diabetes mellitus. No significant correlation was reported between systolic or diastolic blood pressure with age, gender or body mass index (BMI) among hypertensive patients attending a tertiary health centre in the south-south [53]. Males were also reported to have a significantly higher proportion of good blood pressure control [58]. Ojo et al. [60] however found female gender; regular use of medication and regular clinic attendance were good predictors of blood pressure control. Dietary lifestyle modification has also been reported as an important positive factor [61].
Table 2
Summary of findings from selected studies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Sample size</th>
<th>Prevalence of hypertension (HTN)</th>
<th>Aware (% with HTN)</th>
<th>Treated (% of aware)</th>
<th>Controlled (% of treated)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Isezuo et al. [20]</td>
<td>Urban and rural north west</td>
<td>782</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>13.9</td>
<td>85.7</td>
<td>12.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Ulasi et al. [21]</td>
<td>Market place south east</td>
<td>731</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>29.4</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Omuemu et al. [22]</td>
<td>Rural south south</td>
<td>590</td>
<td>20.2</td>
<td>18.5</td>
<td>77.3</td>
<td>29.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Oladapo et al. [27]</td>
<td>Rural south west</td>
<td>2,000</td>
<td>20.8</td>
<td>14.2</td>
<td>18.6</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Ekwunife et al. [28]</td>
<td>Urban South-east</td>
<td>800</td>
<td>21.1</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Hendriks et al. [29]</td>
<td>State north-central</td>
<td>2,678</td>
<td>19.3</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Adeoye et al. [23]</td>
<td>Tertiary hospital (health workers) south west</td>
<td>352</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>54 (% of those with HTN)</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Ahaneku et al. [30]</td>
<td>Rural South-east</td>
<td>218</td>
<td>44.5</td>
<td>12.4</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. Akpan et al. [24]</td>
<td>Urban South-east</td>
<td>590</td>
<td>27.5</td>
<td>10.6</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. Iloh &amp; Amadi [31]</td>
<td>Clinic in South-east</td>
<td>1,600</td>
<td>12.3</td>
<td>38.3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11. Owolabi et al. [32]</td>
<td>Health workers south west</td>
<td>324</td>
<td>20.1</td>
<td>64.7</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12. Chinyere et al. [25]</td>
<td>Tertiary institution staff and students</td>
<td>471</td>
<td>15.7</td>
<td>21.6</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13. Okwonu et al. [26]</td>
<td>Semi-urban South-east</td>
<td>389</td>
<td>37.8</td>
<td>28.6</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4. Discussion

The results presented above reveal that the levels of self-knowledge of hypertensive status, level of treatment coverage, and success of treatment are generally low in Nigeria. This low level of awareness could be as a result of a poor attitude of health workers towards hypertension [7], as blood pressures are not routinely measured for patients during hospital visits. Also a lack of screening mechanism at the community level could account for this low level of awareness. However, of the three performance indicators, treatment coverage seemed to be the best. This should be expected, as most diagnoses are likely to be made by a health practitioner in a health centre, who is likely to initiate some form of treatment (lifestyle modification with/without pharmacological treatment). The findings also suggest a lower level of awareness, but better treatment coverage in rural settings which could be explained by many factors. Firstly, those in urban areas are more likely to access...
screening tools like digital Sygmomanometers, from family members or friends. Many formal
employments in the cities also require medical check-ups to be conducted before resuming work, of
which blood pressure measurement forms an integral part. Health facilities are also likely to be more
accessible to urban dwellers. These factors combined, could account for a higher level of awareness
of hypertension status among urban dwellers. The higher level of persons screened and diagnosed
of hypertension is likely to be associated with a similarly higher level of default. A lack of accessibility
to blood pressure screening in rural areas could also explain the better treatment coverage among
diagnosed persons as only very highly motivated persons are likely to have availed themselves to
screening in the first place. Apart from findings by Adeoye et al. [23] among health workers in a
tertiary health centre, there has been so far no report of blood pressure control of up to 50% among
those receiving anti-hypertensive treatment in Nigeria. In fact, as of 2013, there was no reported
control rate above 45% in the whole of Africa [49].

The findings in Nigeria appear similar to those of other African countries. A nationwide study in
Tunisia showed a prevalence of 30.6%; out of which 38.8% were aware of their status; out of which
84.4% were receiving treatment and of which 24.1% were under control [62]. In Mozambique, the
nationwide prevalence was 33.1%; among which 14.8% were aware of their status. Of those aware
of their status, 51.9% were receiving treatment out of which 39.9% had their blood pressures
controlled [63]. Among rural and urban Tanzanian populace, the prevalence was 30% out of which
less than 20% were aware of their status; out of which less than 10% were receiving treatment and
less than 1% had their blood pressures controlled [64]. In a nationwide study in Cameroun, revealed
a prevalence of 24.6% among from which 23% were aware of their status; from which 46% were
receiving treatment; and of whom 19% were controlled [65]. In Ghana, a prevalence of 29.4% was
reported out of whom 34% were aware of their status; 28% were receiving treatment out of whom
only 6.2% were controlled [66].

Though factors associated with blood pressure control among hypertensive patients in Nigeria
have not been extensively studied, findings by Tamuno and Babashani [58] of a better blood pressure
control among men contrasts findings from the National survey in the United States which revealed
a better blood pressure control among women [67]. Reports of higher blood pressure control among
those on monotherapy by Ezeala-Adikaibe et al. [51] was in concordance with findings from Kenya
and Malaysia, where the use of three or more anti-hypertensive medications was associated with
decreased odds of good blood pressure control [68, 69]. Advanced age above 60 years was reported
to be associated with lower odds of good blood pressure control in contrast to findings by Akpa et al.
[53] that there was no significant association between age and blood pressure.

Considering the relatively high prevalence of hypertension in Nigeria, there is an obvious need to
intensify screening measures. Also, more studies on hypertension with large sample sizes and
employing sound methodologies are needed especially at the community level. This is because the
number of available studies, their geographical coverage, and methodologies as seen from the
assessments above, are grossly deficient to allow for valid conclusions to drawn. Other factors which
could affect blood pressure control as reported in studies outside Nigeria like: ethnicity [70];
occupation [71]; number of clinical visits [72, 73]; and co-morbid conditions like chronic kidney
disease [74] also need to be studied extensively. There is also need for further research on more
efficient treatment options for achieving good blood pressure as intensive blood pressure lowering
in hypertensive patients is beneficial in reducing the rates of major cardiovascular events as
demonstrated by Hansson et al. [75].
5. Conclusion

Hypertension in Nigeria is prevalent and associated with several adverse health consequences. However, comprehensive studies taking into account all the three indicators for assessing success with regards to hypertension, are limited. There is therefore a need to intensify screening measures and also boost research in this area.
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