

## Journal of Advanced Research in Applied Mechanics



Journal homepage: http://www.akademiabaru.com/submit/index.php/aram/index ISSN: 2289-7895

# Structural Damage Identification Using Model Updating Approach: A Review

Nur Raihana Sukri<sup>1</sup>, Nurulakmar Abu Husain<sup>1,\*</sup>, Syarifah Zyurina Nordin<sup>1</sup>, Mohd Shahrir Mohd Sani<sup>2</sup>

- <sup>1</sup> Malaysia-Japan International Institute of Technology, Universiti Teknologi Malaysia, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia
- Faculty of Mechanical and Automotive Engineering Technology, Universiti Malaysia Pahang, 26600 Pekan, Pahang, Malaysia

#### ABSTRACT

There have been countless studies to investigate structural damage identification. This field of study is sometimes referred to as structural health monitoring. Model updating approach is a non-destructive testing method that uses characteristic values related to structural models such as natural frequencies and mode shapes to identify the damage. Researchers over the last decade have focused on several model updating techniques for structural damage detection and identification. However, various methods can be used for model updating, and it can sometimes be quite confusing to choose which method to use for each case. Since this can have serious consequences, it is imperative to understand model updating better. This paper gives an introduction to structural damage identification, while brief information on finite element model updating is described and reviewed in terms of available methods and types of measured data. Here, the performance of different model updating methods utilized in structural damage identification is compared. This study has found that researchers in the iterative method extensively use modal data-based methods and frequency-based methods. The insights gained from this study may assist those who want a brief idea about model updating and its application in structural damage identification.

#### Keywords:

Structural damage identification; finite element model updating

Received: 1 February 2021 Revised: 30 March 2021 Accepted: 15 October 2021 Published: 18 October 2021

#### 1. Introduction

Structural damage is a long-standing challenge for the engineering community because deterioration of the structure will badly affect its safety and reliability. Damage can be described as any difference in the structural physical properties or material properties that may cause unwanted stresses, displacements, or vibrations on the structure due to several factors such as corrosion, fatigue and cracks [1]. Hence, different types of damages affect different structural properties [2].

Over the past few decades, there has been sustained research activity in structural damage. Researchers all over the world have been examined various defects in different types of materials. For instance, Cha *et al.* [3] focused on structural surface damage such as steel delamination, steel corrosion, bolt corrosion and concrete cracks in steel, bolt and concrete. Meanwhile, Xu *et al.* [4] studied the corrosion, fatigue crack, rebar exposure and coating failure in steel. They also studied the

E-mail address: nurulakmar@utm.my

1

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author.



rebar exposure, salt petering, water leakage, crack, spalling, honeycomb and pockmark in concrete. Kralovec and Schagerl [5] focused on matrix crack, fiber crack, delamination and notch in composites. Meanwhile, a significant amount of research is concerned with investigating the causes of defects. For example, previous research by Gholizadeh [6] identified that, material defects are the major sources of composite failures. However, different causes of defects is found in industrial equipment by Dubov *et al.* [2] where the main source of failure is the stress concentration zones.

There are numerous studies to investigate the identification of structural damage. The model updating approach is a non-destructive testing method that uses characteristic values related to structural models such as natural frequencies and mode shapes to identify the damage. Researchers over the past decade have focused on various model updating techniques for detecting and identifying structural damage. However, various methods can be used to update the model, and it can be quite confusing at times to choose which method to use for each case. Since this can have serious consequences, it is imperative to understand the model updating better.

This article aims to give a comprehensive overview of model updating techniques and types of measured data. After the introduction, the structure of this paper can be listed as follows: Section 2 brief description of the methods used to identify structural damage. Section 3 describes the model updating methods and the comparison of performance between different methods and the structural response. Finally, Section 4 summarizes trends in the model updating method and structural response.

## 2. Structural Damage Identification

Structural damage detection plays a vital role in the early damage stage [7]. There has been an increased recognition that more attention needs to be paid to structural damage identification. This field of study is occasionally referred to as structural health monitoring. Damage identification is one of the main components of structural health monitoring. The purposes of damage identification are to identify, locate and distinguish the structural damage [8].

There have been numerous studies to investigate structural damage identification. A recent study by Song *et al.* [8] highlights about research progress on structural damage identification in civil engineering. Hou and Xia [7], Das *et al.* [9] presented a complete review on the vibration-based damage identification used for structural health monitoring. In more advanced and multidiscipline, Avci *et al.* [10], Noel *et al.* [11] studied structural health monitoring using wireless sensor networks.

Song et al. [8] classified the methods of structural damage identification into local methods and integrated methods. The local method is a non-destructive testing (NDT), while the integrated damage identification methods are based on structural vibrations. To better understand NDT methods, Gholizadeh [6] categorized it into contact methods and non-contact methods, as shown in Table 1. Song et al. [8] divided integrated damage identification methods into model-based and non-model-based methods; where model-based methods use characteristic values related to structural models, including the natural frequencies, mode shapes, modal curvature, dynamic flexibility and dynamic stiffness, and the finite element method to identify the damage and non-model-based methods use characteristic values derived from the vibration time history, frequency spectrum or time region instead of features of the structural model. Table 2 shows the list of model-based methods and non-model-based methods.



**Table 1**NDT methods [6]

Contact Methods	Non-Contact Methods	
Traditional ultrasonic testing [12]	Through transmission Ultrasonic	
Eddy current testing [13]	Radiography testing	
Magnetic testing [14]	Thermography [15]	
Electromagnetic [16]	Infrared Testing	
Penetrant testing [14]	Holography [17]	
Liquid penetrant [14]	Shearography [18]	
	Visual inspection	

**Table 2**Integrated damage identification methods

Model-based Methods	Non-model-based Methods
Model updating method	Dynamic fingerprint analysis
Genetic algorithms	Wavelet transformation
Neural networks	Hilbert-Huang Transform
Support vector machine	

As for the model-based methods, Kim *et al.* [19] presented the methodology to locate and estimate the size of damage in beam-type structures for which a few natural frequencies or mode shapes are available. Likewise, [20-25] investigated the effectiveness and applicability of the model-based damage detection for offshore structures. Besides, a number of researchers implemented genetic algorithms to detect damage in structures [26-29]. Meanwhile, [30-36] focused on the use of neural networks to detect structural damages and [37-40] studied the support vector machine approach for damage detection. For non-model-based methods, [41, 42] proposed structural health monitoring based on dynamic fingerprints, which are functions of the structural physical properties and modal parameters. Other approaches are wavelet transformation [43-47] and Hilbert-Huang transform [48-51].

Researchers over the last decade have studied various model updating techniques for structural damage detection. Model updating technique can be loosely described as model calibration, where this technique is basically about updating a finite element model of a structure to reduce the errors between numerical and experimental results [52-54]. Model updating techniques can be categorized into the direct (non-iterative) method and iterative method. The iterative method consists of sensitivity-based method, probabilistic/statistical method, optimized algorithm method and evolutionary algorithm method [55].

## 3. Finite Element Model Updating

Finite element (FE) model updating is an important tool in the field of structural health monitoring. Numerous techniques for FE model updating have been developed over the past decades. FE model updating using experimental data to refine a mathematical model of a structure [56]. The direct method, also known as non-iterative, requires complete modification of the system matrices or substructures [8, 57, 58]. The benefits of using this method are computationally cheaper, and it shows precise results [53]. However, Alkayem *et al.* [55] stated that the direct method might not give a reasonable physical explanation of the changes in structural characteristics. Some



examples of direct methods are error matrix methods, matrix-update methods, optimal matrix methods and the eigenstructure assignment method [55].

Meanwhile, the iterative method, also called as sensitivity method, overcomes the limitations of the direct methods [53] but requires a sensitivity matrix concerning all updating parameters, leading to expensive computation [11]. A tutorial for the sensitivity method in finite element model updating written by Mottershead *et al.* [59] stated that the sensitivity method is based upon linearization of the generally non-linear relationship between measurable outputs, such as natural frequencies, mode shapes or displacement responses and the parameters of the model in need of correction. This method may not apply to structures with considerable damage [8], but it is applied successfully to large-scale industrial problems [53]. Researchers in the iterative method extensively use modal data-based methods and frequency-based methods.

Model updating can also be done by using statistical and probability-based approaches [55]. Simoen *et al.* [54] emphasized that this method provides detailed information about the uncertainty of the quantities of interest. Notably, this method is computationally demanding and challenging because one needs to understand the distribution of all variables [54, 55]. Bayesian framework-based method, Taguchi-based method and Tikhonov regularization method are examples of statistical/probability-based approach.

Aside from the methods mentioned above, researchers have examined various optimization algorithms to solve the FE model updating problem. The optimization algorithm can be used directly or combined with the sensitivity-based method, depending on the ability of the optimization algorithm to handle complex and highly nonlinear FE model updating [55]. However, despite the success of the optimization algorithm in certain aspects, it still suffers from low efficiency and failure to solve optimization problems [55].

There is a vast literature on the evolutionary algorithm method for solving the disadvantages of the optimization algorithms method. The evolutionary algorithm is a computational intelligence technique used to solve complex optimization problems. For instance, Dey et al. [60] employed Bees algorithm to update the model of cracked and uncracked concrete beams. Alkayem et al. [55] provides an extremely useful detailed review of critical aspects of structural damage identification using evolutionary algorithm-based FE model updating. A summary of advantages and limitations for model updating methods is shown in Table 3.

**Table 3**Summary of advantages and limitation for model updating methods

Summary of advantages and limitation for model updating methods			
Method	Example	Advantages	Limitation
Direct (non-iterative)	<ul> <li>Matrix-update methods [61]</li> <li>Optimal Matrix methods</li> <li>Error Matrix methods</li> <li>The Eigen Structure Assignment methods [62]</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>The direct method shows precise results[53]</li> <li>Computationally cheaper[53]</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Might not give a reasonable physical explanation of the changes in structural characteristics[55]</li> </ul>
Sensitivity (iterative)	<ul> <li>Modal data-based methods [63]</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Provides wider choice of</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Requires a sensitivity matrix with respect to all</li> </ul>



•	Frequency		
	response data-		
	based methods		
	[64]		

- parameters for updating[53]
- Applied successfully to large-scale industrial problems[53, 59]
- Overcoming the limitations of the direct methods[53]
- updating parameters[65], leading to expensive computation[55]
- May not be applicable to structures which contain a considerable amount of damage[55]

## Probabilistic/Statistical •

- Taguchi-based methods [66]
- Bayesian framework-based methods [67-69]
- Tikhonov regularization methods [70, 71]
- Markov Chain
   Monte Carlo
   sampling
   technique[57, 72]
- Provide detailed information regarding the resulting uncertainty of the quantities of interest[54]
- When detailed information is required regarding the resolution and interaction of the parameters, the Bayesian approach is most suited[54]
- Requirement to solve complex integrals[55]
- Need to understand the distribution of all variables[55]
- High computational cost[55]

## Optimization Algorithm

- Nelder-Mead [73]
- Couple Local Minimizers, Trust Region Newton [74]
- Penalty Function [75]
- Sequential Quadratic Programming [76]
- Minimize
  residuals
  between the
  dynamic
  characteristics of
  the FE model
  and damage
  structure[55]
- Low efficiency and failure to solve optimization problems[55]

## Evolutionary Algorithm

- Tug-of-war optimization [77]
- Cyclical parthenogenesis algorithm [78]
- Can solve complex optimization problems of high nonlinearity,
- The application to structural damage identification is not yet well resolved[55]



Bees algorithm[60] multimodal interactions[55]

Several studies have examined the structural response for model updating, especially the modal-based and frequency response functions (FRF)-based [79]. The modal-based model updating methods depend on the modal characteristics data such as natural frequencies and mode shapes extracted directly from the measured FRF data [79, 80]. Meanwhile, the FRF-based updating methods directly employ the measured FRF data to update the structural parameters [80]. However, Pedram et al. [81] reported that the FE model updating using Power Spectral Density (PSD) has recently been studied. Pedram et al. [82] explained that PSD is a second-order transfer function, where excitation at a certain degree of freedoms (DOFs) at each frequency point will lead to the auto-spectral and cross-spectral density of the responses. Table 4 shows the advantages and limitations of each measured data in solving model updating problems.

Table 5 summarizes the measured parameter used in recent research studies, complete with the model updating method used for each case study. Using principal components of FRF, Esfandiari *et al.* [83] derived the sensitivity relation by including principal components analysis data obtained from the incomplete measured structural responses in a mathematical formulation. On the other hand, [57, 60, 84-92] employed modal data for their case study. For concrete-encased composite column-beam connections, Nasery *et al.* [84] applied an improved frequency domain decomposition method for modal extraction for concrete-encased composite column-beam connections. Grip *et al.* [85] used modal data as structural response and updating by the classical iterative sensitivity-based method for a reinforced concrete plate. Sotoudehnia *et al.* [86] extracted incomplete noisy modal data and constructed the finite element model using the classical finite element technique and Sander's thin shell theory for the cylindrical shell. Oh *et al.* [87] updated the model using natural frequencies measured in an impact hammer test for a beam structure.

Likewise, Dey et al. [60] performed a laboratory test on a simply supported beam with a smartphone to get the natural frequencies of both damaged and undamaged beams using the accelerometer application software. The model was updated with the Bees algorithm to get more accurate results. Recent work by Lee [91] proposed a modal-based damage identification method applied to portal beam structures. Chen et al. [88] used the incomplete modal quantities extracted from measurements such as the acceleration time histories to update a parameterized baseline model for a 10-story shear-type building and a 33-bar truss structure. Meanwhile, for the 31-bar planar truss structure, Chen and Yu [92] established an objective function using frequencies and mode shapes. Das and Debnath [57] utilized modal data for FE model updating of a reasonably complex structure in the form of a cantilever plate. For the hybrid bolted joint structure, Adel et al. [90] computed the natural frequencies and compared them to the modal test results to evaluate and verify the new model predictions.

**Table 4**Advantages and limitation of each type of measured data

	, · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Data	Advantages	Limitation
Modal data	<ul> <li>Better convergence in the presence of measurement noise when more vibration modes are considered [82]</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Numerical extraction process cause additional errors and inaccuracies [79]</li> <li>Less measured data than unknowns [80]</li> </ul>



#### FRF data

- The amount of available test data is not limited to a few identified eigenvalues and eigenvectors only [79]
- The redundancy of information can be used to reduce the effects of noise [79]
- Avoiding modal analysis errors, especially when the extracted structural modes are close to each other [80]
- Provide more deformation data in a wide range of frequencies [93]

- The spatial DOF incompleteness of FRF. The incompleteness makes the FRF-based methods converge more slowly [79]
- The magnitude values of FRF data near natural frequencies can change very quickly and cause the updating process to converge to a local meaningless minimum [79]

## PSD data

- Embraces the data on structural behaviour at wide frequency ranges [81]
- More sensitive to damage [81]
- Include both auto and cross spectral terms, making more data available for model updating [81]
- Highly sensitive function of structural parameters [81, 82]
- Computing a reliable linear equation that traces the changes in PSD back to the variation in structural parameters is challenging [81]

**Table 5**Modal parameter used in recent research study

Method	Modal parameter	Material used	Researchers
Sensitivity	• •	A 2D steel truss and a steel frame model	[83]
	of FRF	Company to an accord as a series as leaves	[0.4]
	Modal data	Concrete-encased composite column- beam connections	[84]
	Modal data	A reinforced concrete plate	[85]
	Modal data	Cylindrical shell	[86]
	FRF	2D Truss structure	[80]
	FRF	A scale 2D fixed platform	[94]
	FRF	2D truss model and a concrete beam	[95]
	PSD	Concrete beam	[82]
	PSD	Plate and shell models	[81]
	Modal Strain Energy	Beam-like structure	[96]
Probabilistic/ Statistical	Time-invariant model parameters	A bridge pier and a moment resisting steel frame	[97]
	Modal data	Beam structure	[87]
	Modal data	A 10-story shear-type building and a 33-bar truss structure	[88]



	Modal data Modal data and temperature	Cantilever plate Frame structure	[57] [89]
Optimization Algorithm	Modal data Modal data FRF	Portal beam structure 31-bar planar truss structure A composite structure of honeycomb sandwich beam	[91] [92] [98]
Evolutionary Algorithm	Modal Strain Energy Modal data Modal data	Beam and concrete portal frame Simply supported beam Hybrid composite/aluminum bolted joints	[77] [60] [90]

In contrast, [80, 94, 95] have further developed FRF data for 2D structure. Shadan *et al.* [80] applied FRF data to identify unknown structural parameters using a sensitivity-based model updating approach. Fathi *et al.* [94] utilized incomplete noisy FRF data for damage detection in an offshore platform. Rahai *et al.* [95] introduced a sensitivity-based finite element model updating method using singular value decomposition of the frequency response function. On the contrary, Wang *et al.* [98] performed an acceleration FRF based model updating method with Kriging model as metamodel in optimization process on a composite structure of honeycomb sandwich beam.

Meanwhile, [81, 82] developed a sensitivity based damage detection method using power spectral density. This approach had been tested for concrete beam, plate and shell models. Instead, Yang et al. [96] proposed a modal strain energy-based model updating method for damage assessment of beam-like structure. Kaveh and Zolghadr [77] established a new guided structural damage identification approach by using the change in the amount of modal strain energy of a damage structural element of beam and concrete portal frame. Besides the modal parameter above, Ebrahimian et al. [97] performed a series of experiments using time-invariant model parameters to a bridge pier and a moment resisting steel frame. On the other hand, Hou et al. [89] considers the uncertainties and varying temperature conditions to locate and quantify the sparse damage.

#### 4. Conclusion

This paper has listed the numerous techniques for finite element model updating and the advantages and limitations of each method. This study showed that researchers in the iterative method extensively use modal data-based methods and frequency-based methods. However, there is an increasing need and scope for the evolutionary algorithm as their application to identify structural damage is not yet well resolved. The insights gained from this study may be helpful for those who want a brief idea of the model updating.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Ren, Wei-Xin and Guido De Roeck, "Structural damage identification using modal data. I: Simulation verification." Journal of Structural Engineering 128, no. 1 (2002). 87-95.
- [2] Dubov, Anatoly, Alexandr Dubov, and Sergey Kolokolnikov, "Application of the metal magnetic memory method for detection of defects at the initial stage of their development for prevention of failures of power engineering welded steel structures and steam turbine parts." *Welding in the World* 58, no. 2 (2014). 225-236.



- [3] Cha, Young-Jin, Wooram Choi, Gahyun Suh, Sadegh Mahmoudkhani, and Oral Büyüköztürk,"Autonomous Structural Visual Inspection Using Region-Based Deep Learning for Detecting Multiple Damage Types." *Computer-Aided Civil and Infrastructure Engineering* 33, no. 9 (2018). 731-747.
- [4] Xu, Yang, Yuequan Bao, Yufeng Zhang, and Hui Li,"Attribute-based structural damage identification by few-shot meta learning with inter-class knowledge transfer." *Structural Health Monitoring* (2020). 1475921720921135.
- [5] Kralovec, C. and M. Schagerl,"Review of Structural Health Monitoring Methods Regarding a Multi-Sensor Approach for Damage Assessment of Metal and Composite Structures." *Sensors* 20, no. 3 (2020). 826.
- [6] Gholizadeh, S,"A review of non-destructive testing methods of composite materials." *Procedia Structural Integrity* 1 (2016). 50-57.
- [7] Hou, Rongrong and Yong Xia, "Review on the new development of vibration-based damage identification for civil engineering structures: 2010–2019." *Journal of Sound and Vibration* 491 (2021). 115741.
- [8] Song, Laijian, Shuai Li, Jingquan Wang, Zhen Wang, and Guotang Zhao. "Research Progress on Structural Damage Identification in Civil Engineering." In 2020 International Conference on Intelligent Transportation, Big Data & Smart City (ICITBS), pp. 337-344. IEEE, 2020.
- [9] Das, Swagato, P Saha, and SK Patro,"Vibration-based damage detection techniques used for health monitoring of structures: a review." *Journal of Civil Structural Health Monitoring* 6, no. 3 (2016). 477-507.
- [10] Avci, Onur, Osama Abdeljaber, Serkan Kiranyaz, Mohammed Hussein, and Daniel J Inman, "Wireless and real-time structural damage detection: A novel decentralized method for wireless sensor networks." *Journal of Sound and Vibration* 424 (2018). 158-172.
- [11] Noel, Adam B, Abderrazak Abdaoui, Tarek Elfouly, Mohamed Hossam Ahmed, Ahmed Badawy, and Mohamed S Shehata, "Structural health monitoring using wireless sensor networks: A comprehensive survey." *IEEE Communications Surveys & Tutorials* 19, no. 3 (2017). 1403-1423.
- [12] Krautkrämer, Josef and Herbert Krautkrämer. *Ultrasonic testing of materials*: Springer Science & Business Media, 2013.
- [13] García-Martín, Javier, Jaime Gómez-Gil, and Ernesto Vázquez-Sánchez,"Non-destructive techniques based on eddy current testing." *Sensors* 11, no. 3 (2011). 2525-2565.
- [14] Raj, Baldev, Tammana Jayakumar, and M Thavasimuthu. *Practical non-destructive testing*: Woodhead Publishing, 2002.
- [15] Usamentiaga, Rubén, Pablo Venegas, Jon Guerediaga, Laura Vega, Julio Molleda, and Francisco G Bulnes, "Infrared thermography for temperature measurement and non-destructive testing." *Sensors* 14, no. 7 (2014). 12305-12348.
- [16] Udpa, Satish S and Patrick O Moore, "Electromagnetic testing." Nondestructive testing handbook 5 (2004). 230.
- [17] Løkberg, OJ and JT Malmo, "Detection of defects in composite materials by TV holography." *NDT international* 21, no. 4 (1988). 223-228.
- [18] Hung, YY, "Shearography for non-destructive evaluation of composite structures." *Optics and lasers in engineering* 24, no. 2-3 (1996). 161-182.
- [19] Kim, Jeong-Tae, Yeon-Sun Ryu, Hyun-Man Cho, and Norris Stubbs,"Damage identification in beam-type structures: frequency-based method vs mode-shape-based method." *Engineering structures* 25, no. 1 (2003). 57-67.
- [20] Li, Yingchao, Min Zhang, and Wenlong Yang,"Numerical and experimental investigation of modal-energy-based damage localization for offshore wind turbine structures." *Advances in Structural Engineering* 21, no. 10 (2018). 1510-1525.
- [21] Li, Yingchao, Shuqing Wang, Min Zhang, and Chunmei Zheng, "An improved modal strain energy method for damage detection in offshore platform structures." *Journal of Marine Science and Application* 15, no. 2 (2016). 182-192.
- [22] Wang, Shuqing, Fushun Liu, and Min Zhang,"Modal strain energy based structural damage localization for offshore platform using simulated and measured data." *Journal of Ocean University of China* 13, no. 3 (2014). 397-406.
- [23] Liu, Fushun, Huajun Li, Wei Li, and Bin Wang, "Experimental study of improved modal strain energy method for damage localisation in jacket-type offshore wind turbines." *Renewable Energy* 72 (2014). 174-181.
- [24] Wang, Shuqing, "Damage detection in offshore platform structures from limited modal data." *Applied Ocean Research* 41 (2013). 48-56.
- [25] Wang, Shuqing, Mingqiang Xu, Zhipeng Xia, and Yingchao Li,"A novel Tikhonov regularization-based iterative method for structural damage identification of offshore platforms." *Journal of Marine Science and Technology* 24, no. 2 (2019). 575-592.
- [26] Mares, C and C Surace,"An application of genetic algorithms to identify damage in elastic structures." *Journal of sound and vibration* 195, no. 2 (1996). 195-215.



- [27] Hao, Hong and Yong Xia,"Vibration-based damage detection of structures by genetic algorithm." *Journal of computing in civil engineering* 16, no. 3 (2002). 222-229.
- [28] Perera, Ricardo and Ronald Torres,"Structural damage detection via modal data with genetic algorithms." *Journal of Structural Engineering* 132, no. 9 (2006). 1491-1501.
- [29] Meruane, V and W Heylen,"An hybrid real genetic algorithm to detect structural damage using modal properties." *Mechanical Systems and Signal Processing* 25, no. 5 (2011). 1559-1573.
- [30] Gomes, Guilherme Ferreira, Yohan Ali Diaz Mendez, Patrícia da Silva Lopes Alexandrino, Sebastiao Simões da Cunha, and Antonio Carlos Ancelotti,"A review of vibration based inverse methods for damage detection and identification in mechanical structures using optimization algorithms and ANN." *Archives of computational methods in engineering* 26, no. 4 (2019). 883-897.
- [31] Wu, X, J Ghaboussi, and JH Garrett Jr, "Use of neural networks in detection of structural damage." *Computers & structures* 42, no. 4 (1992). 649-659.
- [32] Sahoo, Saritprava and Pankaj Charan Jena,"Analysis of GFRP cracked cantilever beam using artificial neural network." *Materials Today: Proceedings* 44 (2021). 1788-1793.
- [33] Hait, Pritam, Arjun Sil, and Satyabrata Choudhury,"Prediction of global damage index of reinforced concrete building using artificial neural network." *International Journal for Computational Methods in Engineering Science and Mechanics* (2021). 1-15.
- [34] Rachedi, Mohammed, Mohammed Matallah, and Panagiotis Kotronis, "Seismic behavior & risk assessment of an existing bridge considering soil-structure interaction using artificial neural networks." *Engineering Structures* 232 (2021). 111800.
- Ünlü, Ramazan and Recep Kiriş, "Detection of damaged buildings after an earthquake with convolutional neural networks in conjunction with image segmentation." *The Visual Computer* (2021). 1-10.
- [36] Kim, Euiyoul, Nithya Jayaprakasam, Yong Cui, and Ullrich Martin, "Defect Prediction of Railway Wheel Flats based on Hilbert Transform and Wavelet Packet Decomposition." *arXiv preprint arXiv:2008.12111* (2020).
- [37] Hasni, Hassene, Amir H Alavi, Pengcheng Jiao, and Nizar Lajnef, "Detection of fatigue cracking in steel bridge girders: a support vector machine approach." *Archives of Civil and Mechanical Engineering* 17 (2017). 609-622.
- [38] Satpal, Satish B, Anirban Guha, and Sauvik Banerjee, "Damage identification in aluminum beams using support vector machine: Numerical and experimental studies." *Structural Control and Health Monitoring* 23, no. 3 (2016). 446-457.
- [39] Worden, Keith and AJ Lane,"Damage identification using support vector machines." *Smart materials and structures* 10, no. 3 (2001). 540.
- [40] Liu, Xiaoyang, Haizhou Huang, and Jiawei Xiang,"A personalized diagnosis method to detect faults in a bearing based on acceleration sensors and an FEM simulation driving support vector machine." *Sensors* 20, no. 2 (2020). 420.
- [41] Sun, Shuang, Li Liang, Ming Li, and Xin Li, "Vibration-based Damage Detection in Bridges via Machine Learning." KSCE Journal of Civil Engineering 22, no. 12 (2018). 5123-5132.
- [42] Sun, Shuang, Li Liang, Ming Li, and Xin Li, "Bridge Performance Evaluation via Dynamic Fingerprints and Data Fusion." *Journal of Performance of Constructed Facilities* 33, no. 2 (2019). 04019004.
- [43] Okafor, A Chukwujekwu and A Dutta,"Structural damage detection in beams by wavelet transforms." *Smart Materials and Structures* 9, no. 6 (2000). 906.
- [44] Grabowska, Joanna, Magadalena Palacz, and Marek Krawczuk,"Damage identification by wavelet analysis." *Mechanical systems and signal processing* 22, no. 7 (2008). 1623-1635.
- [45] Bayissa, WL, Nicholas Haritos, and Sven Thelandersson, "Vibration-based structural damage identification using wavelet transform." *Mechanical systems and signal processing* 22, no. 5 (2008). 1194-1215.
- [46] Poudel, Upendra P, Gongkang Fu, and Jian Ye,"Wavelet transformation of mode shape difference function for structural damage location identification." *Earthquake Engineering & Structural Dynamics* 36, no. 8 (2007). 1089-1107.
- [47] Hou, Zhikun, Mohammad Noori, and R St Amand,"Wavelet-based approach for structural damage detection." *Journal of Engineering mechanics* 126, no. 7 (2000). 677-683.
- [48] Yang, Jann N, Yu Lei, S Lin, and N Huang, "Hilbert-Huang based approach for structural damage detection." Journal of engineering mechanics 130, no. 1 (2004). 85-95.
- [49] Chiang, Wei-Ling, Dung-Jiang Chiou, Cheng-Wu Chen, Jhy-Pyng Tang, Wen-Ko Hsu, and Te-Yu Liu, "Detecting the sensitivity of structural damage based on the Hilbert-Huang transform approach." *Engineering Computations* (2010).
- [50] Roveri, N and A Carcaterra, "Damage detection in structures under traveling loads by Hilbert–Huang transform." Mechanical Systems and Signal Processing 28 (2012). 128-144.



- [51] Liu, Jianbo, Xinwei Wang, Shenfang Yuan, and Gang Li, "On Hilbert-Huang transform approach for structural health monitoring." *Journal of Intelligent Material Systems and Structures* 17, no. 8-9 (2006). 721-728.
- [52] Zahari, SN, AAR Zakaria, MS Mohd Sani, and I Zaman. "A review on model updating of joint structure for dynamic analysis purpose." In *MATEC Web of Conferences*, pp. 00023. EDP Sciences, 2016.
- [53] Abdullah, NAZ, MSM Sani, MM Rahman, and I Zaman. "A review on model updating in structural dynamics."In *IOP Conference Series: Materials Science and Engineering*, pp. 012015. IOP Publishing, 2015.
- [54] Simoen, Ellen, Guido De Roeck, and Geert Lombaert, "Dealing with uncertainty in model updating for damage assessment: A review." *Mechanical Systems and Signal Processing* 56-57 (2015). 123-149.
- [55] Alkayem, N. F., M. Cao, Y. Zhang, M. Bayat, and Z. Su, "Structural damage detection using finite element model updating with evolutionary algorithms: a survey." *Neural Comput Appl* 30, no. 2 (2018). 389-411.
- [56] Ren, Wei-Xin and Hua-Bing Chen, "Finite element model updating in structural dynamics by using the response surface method." *Engineering Structures* 32, no. 8 (2010). 2455-2465.
- [57] Das, Ayan and Nirmalendu Debnath. "Sampling-Based Techniques for Finite Element Model Updating in Bayesian Framework Using Commercial Software." In *Advances in Structural Technologies*, pp. 363-379, Springer, Singapore, 2021.
- [58] Friswell, MI, DJ Inman, and Deborah F Pilkey,"Direct updating of damping and stiffness matrices." *AIAA journal* 36, no. 3 (1998). 491-493.
- [59] Mottershead, John E., Michael Link, and Michael I. Friswell,"The sensitivity method in finite element model updating: A tutorial." *Mechanical Systems and Signal Processing* 25, no. 7 (2011). 2275-2296.
- [60] Dey, Palash, V. Akhil, and A. I. Laskar, "Application of Smartphone and Model Updating Technique in Structural Health Monitoring." *Arabian Journal for Science and Engineering* 44, no. 5 (2018). 4819-4828.
- [61] Carvalho, Joao, Biswa N Datta, Abhijit Gupta, and Maitreya Lagadapati,"A direct method for model updating with incomplete measured data and without spurious modes." *Mechanical Systems and Signal Processing* 21, no. 7 (2007). 2715-2731.
- [62] Yuan, Yongxin and Hao Liu,"An iterative updating method for damped structural systems using symmetric eigenstructure assignment." *Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics* 256 (2014). 268-277.
- [63] Esfandiari, Akbar and Maryam Vahedi, "Enhanced sensitivity for structural damage detection using incomplete modal data." *International Journal of Structural Stability and Dynamics* 18, no. 4 (2018). 1850054.
- [64] Wang, Z, RM Lin, and MK Lim,"Structural damage detection using measured FRF data." *Computer methods in applied mechanics and engineering* 147, no. 1-2 (1997). 187-197.
- [65] Fritzen, C-P, D Jennewein, and T Kiefer, "Damage Detection Based On Model Updationg Methods." *Mechanical systems and signal processing* 12, no. 1 (1998). 163-186.
- [66] Kwon, Kye-Si and Rong-Ming Lin,"Robust finite element model updating using Taguchi method." *Journal of sound and vibration* 280, no. 1-2 (2005). 77-99.
- [67] Marwala, Tshilidzi and Sibusiso Sibisi,"Finite element model updating using Bayesian framework and modal properties." *Journal of Aircraft* 42, no. 1 (2005). 275-278.
- [68] Ching, Jianye and James L Beck,"New Bayesian model updating algorithm applied to a structural health monitoring benchmark." *Structural Health Monitoring* 3, no. 4 (2004). 313-332.
- [69] Muto, Matthew and James L Beck, "Bayesian updating and model class selection for hysteretic structural models using stochastic simulation." *Journal of Vibration and Control* 14, no. 1-2 (2008). 7-34.
- [70] Weber, Benedikt, Patrick Paultre, and Jean Proulx,"Consistent regularization of nonlinear model updating for damage identification." *Mechanical Systems and Signal Processing* 23, no. 6 (2009). 1965-1985.
- [71] Li, XY and SS Law, "Adaptive Tikhonov regularization for damage detection based on nonlinear model updating." *Mechanical Systems and Signal Processing* 24, no. 6 (2010). 1646-1664.
- [72] Yang, Jia-Hua and Heung-Fai Lam, "An efficient adaptive sequential M onte C arlo method for B ayesian model updating and damage detection." *Structural Control and Health Monitoring* 25, no. 12 (2018). e2260.
- [73] Baghmisheh, MT Vakil, Mansour Peimani, Morteza Homayoun Sadeghi, Mir Mohammad Ettefagh, and Aysa Fakheri Tabrizi,"A hybrid particle swarm–Nelder–Mead optimization method for crack detection in cantilever beams." *Applied Soft Computing* 12, no. 8 (2012). 2217-2226.
- [74] Teughels, Anne and Guido De Roeck, "Damage detection and parameter identification by finite element model updating." *Revue européenne de génie civil* 9, no. 1-2 (2005). 109-158.
- [75] Mordini, Andrea, Konstantin Savov, and Helmut Wenzel, "The finite element model updating: a powerful tool for structural health monitoring." *Structural engineering international* 17, no. 4 (2007). 352-358.
- [76] Mazzotti, Matteo, Qiang Mao, Ivan Bartoli, and Stylianos Livadiotis,"A multiplicative regularized Gauss-Newton method with trust region Sequential Quadratic Programming for structural model updating." *Mechanical Systems and Signal Processing* 131 (2019). 417-433.



- [77] Kaveh, A. and A. Zolghadr, "Guided Modal Strain Energy-Based Approach for Structural Damage Identification Using Tug-of-War Optimization Algorithm." *Journal of Computing in Civil Engineering* 31, no. 4 (2017). 04017016.
- [78] Kaveh, A and A Zolghadr, "Cyclical parthenogenesis algorithm for guided modal strain energy based structural damage detection." *Applied Soft Computing* 57 (2017). 250-264.
- [79] Gang, Xianyue, Shan Chai, Randall J. Allemang, and Lijun Li,"A new iterative model updating method using incomplete frequency response function data." *Journal of Sound and Vibration* 333, no. 9 (2014). 2443-2453.
- [80] Shadan, Fariba, Faramarz Khoshnoudian, and Akbar Esfandiari,"A frequency response-based structural damage identification using model updating method." *Structural Control and Health Monitoring* 23, no. 2 (2016). 286-302.
- [81] Pedram, Masoud, Akbar Esfandiari, and Mohammad Reza Khedmati, "Frequency domain damage detection of plate and shell structures by finite element model updating." *Inverse Problems in Science and Engineering* 26, no. 1 (2017). 100-132.
- [82] Pedram, Masoud, Akbar Esfandiari, and Mohammad Reza Khedmati, "Damage detection by a FE model updating method using power spectral density: Numerical and experimental investigation." *Journal of Sound and Vibration* 397 (2017). 51-76.
- [83] Esfandiari, Akbar, Mansureh-Sadat Nabiyan, and Fayaz R Rofooei, "Structural damage detection using principal component analysis of frequency response function data." *Structural Control and Health Monitoring* (2020). e2550.
- [84] Nasery, Mohammad Manzoor, Metin Hüsem, Fatih Yesevi Okur, Ahmet Can Altunışık, and Mohammad Emran Nasery, "Model updating-based automated damage detection of concrete-encased composite column-beam connections." *Structural Control and Health Monitoring* 27, no. 10 (2020). e2600.
- [85] Grip, Niklas, Natalia Sabourova, and Yongming Tu, "Sensitivity-based model updating for structural damage identification using total variation regularization." *Mechanical Systems and Signal Processing* 84 (2017). 365-383.
- [86] Sotoudehnia, Ebrahim, Farzad Shahabian, and Ahmad Aftabi Sani, "Damage detection of cylindrical shells based on Sander's theory and model updating using incomplete modal data considering random noises." *European Journal of Mechanics A/Solids* 85 (2021). 104110.
- [87] Oh, Byung Kwan, Min Sun Kim, Yousok Kim, Tongjun Cho, and Hyo Seon Park, "Model Updating Technique Based on Modal Participation Factors for Beam Structures." *Computer-Aided Civil and Infrastructure Engineering* 30, no. 9 (2015). 733-747.
- [88] Chen, Zhao, Ruiyang Zhang, Jingwei Zheng, and Hao Sun, "Sparse Bayesian learning for structural damage identification." *Mechanical Systems and Signal Processing* 140 (2020). 106689.
- [89] Hou, Rongrong, Xiaoyou Wang, Qi Xia, and Yong Xia, "Sparse Bayesian learning for structural damage detection under varying temperature conditions." *Mechanical Systems and Signal Processing* 145 (2020). 106965.
- [90] Adel, Farhad, Saeed Shokrollahi, Majid Jamal-Omidi, and Hamid Ahmadian,"A model updating method for hybrid composite/aluminum bolted joints using modal test data." *Journal of Sound and Vibration* 396 (2017). 172-185.
- [91] Lee, Nien-Lung,"Application of two-stage evaluation and optimization update methods for the structural damage detection of a portal beam structure." *Structures* 29 (2021). 684-690.
- [92] Chen, Chengbin and Ling Yu,"A hybrid ant lion optimizer with improved Nelder–Mead algorithm for structural damage detection by improving weighted trace lasso regularization." *Advances in Structural Engineering* 23, no. 3 (2019). 468-484.
- [93] Shadan, Fariba, Faramarz Khoshnoudian, Daniel J. Inman, and Akbar Esfandiari, "Experimental validation of a FRF-based model updating method." *Journal of Vibration and Control* 24, no. 8 (2016). 1570-1583.
- [94] Fathi, Amin, Akbar Esfandiari, Manouchehr Fadavie, and Alireza Mojtahedi, "Damage detection in an offshore platform using incomplete noisy FRF data by a novel Bayesian model updating method." *Ocean Engineering* 217 (2020). 108023.
- [95] Rahai, Mohammad, Akbar Esfandiari, and Ali Bakhshi, "Detection of structural damages by model updating based on singular value decomposition of transfer function subsets." *Structural Control and Health Monitoring* 27, no. 11 (2020). e2622.
- [96] Yang, Xiuming, Huajiang Ouyang, Xinglin Guo, and Shancheng Cao, "Modal Strain Energy-Based Model Updating Method for Damage Identification on Beam-Like Structures." *Journal of Structural Engineering* 146, no. 11 (2020). 04020246.
- [97] Ebrahimian, Hamed, Rodrigo Astroza, Joel P. Conte, and Raymond A. de Callafon,"Nonlinear finite element model updating for damage identification of civil structures using batch Bayesian estimation." *Mechanical Systems and Signal Processing* 84 (2017). 194-222.
- [98] Wang, J. T., C. J. Wang, and J. P. Zhao, "Frequency response function-based model updating using Kriging model." *Mechanical Systems and Signal Processing* 87 (2017). 218-228.